Basic Periodic Homogenization

Xin Wang

TRIP Seminar

March 24, 2010



2 Fredholm Alternative

Two-scale Asymptotic Expansions
 Application - Revisiting 1D Elliptic Problem

the two-point boundary value problem

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(a^{\epsilon}(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}u^{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) = f \quad x \in \Omega = [0, L]$$
$$u^{\epsilon}(0) = u^{\epsilon}(L) = 0$$

assume $a^{\epsilon}(x) = a(x/\epsilon)$ and a(y) is smooth and periodic with period 1

$$0 < \alpha \leq a(y) \leq \beta, \quad \forall y \in [0, 1]$$

we want to study the behavior of u^ϵ , as $\epsilon
ightarrow 0$

from the variational formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} a^{\epsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}u^{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}x} \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} f v \,\mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall v \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)$$

taking $v = u^{\epsilon}$

$$\Rightarrow \|u^{\epsilon}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

extracting a subsequence, still denoted by u^ϵ such that

 $u^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } H^1_0(\Omega)$

introduce

$$\xi^{\epsilon} = a^{\epsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}u^{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{d}x}$$

 $\xi^\epsilon\in L^2(\Omega)$ since $a^\epsilon\in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi^{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{d}x} = f$$

so $\{\xi^{\epsilon}\} \subseteq H^1(\Omega)$ and bounded. we can extract a subsequence, still denoted by ξ^{ϵ} such that

$$\xi^{\epsilon} \to \xi \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega)$$

by Rellich compactness theorem

Def: if $g^{\epsilon}, g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $g^{\epsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} g$ weak-* in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ means

$$\int_{\Omega} g^{\epsilon} \phi \, \mathrm{d} x = \int_{\Omega} g \phi \, \mathrm{d} x, \quad \forall \phi \in L^1(\Omega)$$

$$rac{1}{a^\epsilon} \stackrel{*}{ woheadrightarrow} \mathcal{M}(a) := \int_0^1 rac{1}{a(y)} \,\mathrm{d} y \;\; \mathsf{weak} extsf{-*} \; \mathsf{in} \; L^\infty(\Omega). \; \mathsf{then}$$

$$rac{1}{a^{\epsilon}}\xi^{\epsilon}
ightarrow \mathcal{M}(a)\xi$$
 weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x} \leftarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}u^{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{1}{a^{\epsilon}} \xi^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(a) \xi \text{ weakly in } L^{2}(\Omega) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x} = \mathcal{M}(a) \xi$$
since $-\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}x} = f$,
 $-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(a)} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) = f$

the homogenized operator is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = -\bar{a} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2}$$

where
$$\bar{a} = rac{1}{\mathcal{M}(a)}$$

Sobolev Space of Periodic Functions

T^d: d-dimensional unit cube, or called unit cell
 f: ℝ^d → ℝ called 1-periodic fun if

$$f(y + e_i) = f(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad i = 1, \cdots, d$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^d = $C_{per}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d)$: the restriction to \mathbb{T}^d of $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that are 1-periodic = $L_{per}^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$: the completion of $C_{per}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ w.r.t. L^p -norm = $H_{per}^1(\mathbb{T}^d) = \left\{ u : u \in L_{per}^2(\mathbb{T}^d), \nabla u \in L_{per}^2(\mathbb{T}^d) \right\}$ Fredholm Alternative for Periodic Elliptic PDEs consider the PDE

$$\mathcal{A}u = -\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{A}(y)\nabla u(y)) = f(y), u(y)$$
 is 1-periodic

Lemma. assume *A* is 1-periodic, uniformly coercive and bounded. then the following alternative holds.

i) either there exists a unique solution for every $f \in L^2_{per}(\mathbb{T}^d)$; or

ii) the homogeneous equation

$$\mathcal{A}u = 0, u \text{ is } 1 - \text{periodic}$$

has at least one nontrivial solution and

$$1 \leq {\sf dim}\Big(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A})\Big) = {\sf dim}\Big(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}^*)\Big) < \infty.$$

in this case the problem has a weak solution if and only if

$$(f,v)_{\mathbb{T}^d}=0, \quad \forall v\in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}^*)$$

More Applicable Corollary: Solvability Condition

Corollary. let $f(y) \in L^2_{per}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. there exists a solution in $H^1_{per}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ (unique up to an additive constant) of the elliptic PDE if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(y) dy = 0$

Proof. indeed, consider the homogeneous adjoint equation

$$\mathcal{A}^* \mathbf{v} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{A}^T \nabla \mathbf{v} \right) = \mathbf{0}.$$

clearly, the constant function v = 1 is a solution of this equation. the uniform ellipticity of the matrix A implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla v|^2 dy = 0$$

so that v is a constant. hence $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}^*) = \operatorname{span}\{1\}$

Elliptic PDEs in *d*-Dimension

stationary diffusion equation in divergence form

$$-\nabla \cdot \left(A^{\epsilon} \nabla u^{\epsilon} \right) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$$
$$u^{\epsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

• $u^{\epsilon} = u^{\epsilon}(x)$: an unknown scalar field

• f = f(x): a given scalar field

 a coefficient tensor A^ϵ(x) = A(x/ϵ) = A(y), A is 1-periodic w.r.t. y, uniformly coercive and bounded, i.e.,

$$lpha |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d A_{ij}(y) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \beta |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \forall y, \beta \geq lpha > 0$$

sol u^{ϵ} in the form of a power series expansion in ϵ

$$u^{\epsilon} = u_0 + \epsilon u_1 + \epsilon^2 u_2 + \cdots$$

■ claim: {u_i} depend explicitly on x and y = x/e and 1-periodic w.r.t. y (idea of multiple scales)

$$\Rightarrow u^{\epsilon}(x) = u_0\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \epsilon u_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \epsilon^2 u_2\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \cdots$$

$$y = x/\epsilon \Rightarrow \nabla = \nabla_x + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_y$$
 e.g., $g^{\epsilon}(x) := g(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$

$$abla g^{\epsilon}(x) =
abla_{x}g(x,y)\Big|_{y=rac{x}{\epsilon}} + rac{1}{\epsilon}
abla_{y}g(x,y)\Big|_{y=rac{x}{\epsilon}}$$

 $\mathcal{A}^\epsilon := abla \cdot (\mathcal{A}(y)
abla)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{A}^{\epsilon} = rac{1}{\epsilon^2}\mathcal{A}_0 + rac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0 &:= -\nabla_y \cdot \left(\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla_y \right) \\ \mathcal{A}_1 &:= -\nabla_y \cdot \left(\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla_x \right) - \nabla_x \cdot \left(\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla_y \right) \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &:= -\nabla_x \cdot \left(\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla_x \right) \end{aligned}$$

the equation becomes

$$\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\mathcal{A}_0 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2\right) u^{\epsilon} = f \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{T}^d \\ u^{\epsilon} = 0 \quad (x, y) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^d$$

moreover

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\mathcal{A}_0u_0 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\mathcal{A}_0u_1 + \mathcal{A}_1u_0) + (\mathcal{A}_0u_2 + \mathcal{A}_1u_1 + \mathcal{A}_2u_0) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) = f$$

disregard all terms of order higher than 1

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^2) & \mathcal{A}_0 u_0 = 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon) & \mathcal{A}_0 u_1 = -\mathcal{A}_1 u_0 \\ \mathcal{O}(1) & \mathcal{A}_0 u_2 = -\mathcal{A}_1 u_1 - \mathcal{A}_2 u_0 + f(x) \end{array}$$

 $\mathcal{A}_0 u_0 = 0$

$$\begin{split} u_0(x,y) &= u(x), \text{ i.e., } u_0 \text{ independent of } y \Leftarrow \text{ellipticity of } A \\ & \alpha \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla_y u_0(x,y)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} A(y) \nabla_y u_0 \cdot \nabla_y u_0 \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} u_0 \mathcal{A}_0 u_0 \, \mathrm{d}y = 0 \\ \Longrightarrow \nabla_y u_0(x,y) &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0 u_1 = -\mathcal{A}_1 u_0$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0 u_1 &= \nabla_y \cdot (A \nabla_x u) \text{ and } u_1(x,y) \text{ is 1-periodic w.r.t. } y, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} u_1 \, \mathrm{d}y &= 0 \text{ check the solvability condition} \end{aligned}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla_y \cdot (A \nabla_x u) \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_{\partial \mathbb{T}^d} \mathbf{n} \cdot (A \nabla_x u) \, \mathrm{d}S = 0$$

by periodicity of $A(\cdot)$

solving *u*₁

use separation of variables: $u_1(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x)\omega_i(y)$ where

 $\chi(y) = \left[\omega_1(y), \cdots, \omega_d(y)\right]$ is called the **first-order corrector** and they satisfy the **cell problem**

$$-\nabla_{y} \cdot \left(A(y) \nabla_{y} \omega_{i}(y) \right) = \nabla_{y} \cdot \left(A(y) e_{i} \right)$$
$$\omega_{i}(y) \quad \text{is } 1 - \text{periodic}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^d

$$\mathcal{A}_0 u_2 = -\mathcal{A}_1 u_1 - \mathcal{A}_2 u_0 + f(x)$$

the solvability condition of the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ equation implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\mathcal{A}_2 u_0 + \mathcal{A}_1 u_1) \, \mathrm{d}y = f(x)$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathcal{A}_2 u_0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} -\nabla_x \cdot \left(\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla_x u \right) dy$$
$$= -\nabla_x \cdot \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathcal{A}(y) dy \right) \nabla_x u \right]$$

 and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathcal{A}_1 u_1 \, \mathrm{d}y &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(-\nabla_y \cdot (\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla_x u_1) - \nabla_x \cdot (\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla_y u_1) \right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &:= l_1 + l_2 \end{split}$$

Continuing ...

•
$$I_1 = 0$$
 by periodicity and

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} -\nabla_x \cdot \left(A(y) \nabla_y u_1 \right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \nabla_x \cdot \left(A(y) \nabla_y (\chi \cdot \nabla_x u) \right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &= -\nabla_x \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} A(y) \nabla_y \chi(y)^T \mathrm{d}y \right) \nabla_x u \end{split}$$

finally, the homogenized equation

$$abla_{x} \cdot (\bar{A} \nabla_{x} u) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
 $u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$

where

$$ar{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(\mathcal{A}(y) + \mathcal{A}(y) \nabla \chi(y)^T \right) \mathrm{d}y$$

Continuing ...

$$\nabla \chi(\mathbf{y})^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial y_d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \omega_d}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \omega_d}{\partial y_d} \end{pmatrix}$$

 and

$$(\mathcal{A}(y) \nabla \chi(y)^{\mathsf{T}})_{ij} = \sum_{k} \mathcal{A}_{ik}(y) \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial y_k}$$

Comments from Allaire's Slides

- explicit formula for the effective parameters but no longer true for non-periodic problems
- \overline{A} not depend on ϵ, f, u or the boundary conditions, still true in the non-periodic case
- Ā is positive definite, but not necessary isotropic even if A(y) was so
- one can check that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} u^{\epsilon} = u, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \nabla u^{\epsilon} = \nabla u, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} A(\frac{x}{\epsilon}) \nabla u^{\epsilon} = \bar{A} \nabla u$$
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} A(\frac{x}{\epsilon}) \nabla u^{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u^{\epsilon} = \bar{A} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u$$

- same results for evolution problems
- very general method, but heuristic and not rigorous

1D Elliptic Problem

let d = 1 and $\Omega = [0, L]$. then the two-point boundary value problem

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(a\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}u^{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) = f \quad x \in [0, L]$$
$$u^{\epsilon}(0) = u^{\epsilon}(L) = 0$$

assume a(y) is smooth and periodic with period 1 and

$$0 < \alpha \leq a(y) \leq \beta, \quad \forall y \in [0, 1]$$

1D Elliptic Problem

the cell problem in 1D

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y}\left(a(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi}{\mathrm{d}y}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}a(y)}{\mathrm{d}y} \quad y \in [0,1]$$

$$\chi \text{ is } 1 - \text{periodic}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \chi(y) \,\mathrm{d}y = 0 (\Leftarrow \text{ uniqueness})$$

integration from 0 to y

$$a(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi(y)}{\mathrm{d}y} = -a(y) + c_1$$

once again

$$\chi(y) = -y + c_1 \int_0^y \frac{1}{a(y)} \,\mathrm{d}y + c_2$$

 $c_1 = \left(\int_0^1 1/a(y) \,\mathrm{d}y\right)^{-1}$ by periodicity of χ

1D Elliptic Problem

then the 1D effective coefficient - the harmonic average

$$\bar{a} = \int_0^1 \left(a(y) + a(y) \frac{\mathrm{d}\chi(y)}{\mathrm{d}y} \right) \mathrm{d}y = \left(\int_0^1 1/a(y) \,\mathrm{d}y \right)^{-1}$$

one can easily prove

$$\alpha \leq \bar{a} \leq \beta, \quad \bar{a} \leq \int_0^1 a(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

References

G. A. Pavliotis and A. M. Stuart, Multiscale methods: averaging and homogenization, Springer, 2008.

🔋 G. Allaire,

An introduction to multiscale finite element methods for numerical homogenization, MULTIMAT meeting, Paris, 2005.

A. Bensoussan, J. L. Lions and G. Papanicolaou, Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures, NORTH-HOLLAND, 1978.